

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS35 U.S.C. § 112


Claims 10 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 10 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome each specific issue identified in the office action.

35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 9 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Payne et al. (US 4,272,309) or Leblond (US 3,790,425) or Becker et al. (US 5,591,288). This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons. Applicant has amended claim 9 to state the following: said drum supporting shaft has an outer end having a dog drive, said building drum having a mating dog drive assembly connected thereto, and wherein said dog drive and said mating dog drive have intermeshing teeth and wherein the dog drive and the mating dog drive cooperate to provide rotational movement of the drum. None of the cited references teach these structural limitations.

In light of this amendment, all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application are allowable. Thus, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,


June E. Rickey, Reg. No. 48,144
Attorney for Applicants

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company
Department 823
1144 East Market Street
Akron, Ohio 44316-0001
Telephone: (330) 796-3328
Facsimile: (330) 796-9018